SB 330 Conclusions

**Untrue Statements**

1. 655895, Section 3, #14, p5: Building smaller homes provides the lowest greenhouse gas footprints. **Denser housing with smaller lots reduces the area available for absorption of greenhouse gases.**

2. 655895 #B, Section 3, p6: California housing is the most expensive in the nation. **New York City is much more expensive.**

3. 655895#I, Section 3, p7: People displaced by our housing shortage cause a significant increase in greenhouse emissions. **How can fewer people cause greater emissions**?

**Ignoring of Current Los Angeles Provisions**

1. 655895#d Section 3and d1, p7, Section 3. A city can’t disapprove housing developments unless it already has a housing element. **Note that LA has a number of ordinances, including the Transit Oriented Community Ordinance, that satisfies much of the purported purpose of SB 330, for a couple of years and that apparently only one project has taken advantage of its provisions.**

2. 655895#d and d2, Section 3. Development can’t increase public health and safety. **Increased density requires increased police presence and utilities.**

**Egregious Provisions**

1**.** 655895 #5B, p8, Section 3, 655895 #h2B, p10, Section 3, Section 10, c1 and c2, p22, Section 12, A , p23 and several other places**. Commercial uses and others, including mixed use projects are permitted in residential zones.**

**2.** 655895 #B2i, p11, Section 3, Section 4,p14; Section 7, 65943 and other places. Initial applications are presumed complete within 30 days of submission. This does not allow for community review, including by Neighborhood Councils, delays by ZAAs including illness, or other circumstances.

3. 655895#E4, p14, Section 4. Section 6, 3c, p 16.The preliminary application date remains in place unless it calls for increase in number of units, square footage, etc by an additional 20% .

4. Section 10, c1 and c2, p22.