Jeffrey Mausner 6222 Amigo Ave. Tarzana, CA 91335 Jeff@MausnerLaw.com

December 6, 2017

Yi Lu Hearing Officer Department of City Planning yi.lu@lacity.org

Re: CASE: CPC-2017-4075-CA, ENV-2017-4076-EAF Pet Shop Ordinance Hearing Date: December 7, 2017, 10 A.M.

Dear Yi Lu:

I was the Appellant to the South Valley Area Planning Commission in the matter involving Rockin' Rescue, which precipitated Councilmember Blumenfield's introduction of the motion to amend the Code to allow rescues to operate in commercial zones. Please see: https://www.change.org/p/save-the-lives-of-dogs-and-cats-in-los-angeles-don-t-shut-down-rockin-rescue/u/19200134

For purposes of identification, I am currently a Board Member and the 2nd Vice President of the Tarzana Neighborhood Council (TNC); I'm Chair of its Animal Welfare Committee. I'm also the liaison from the TNC to the Los Angeles Animal Services Department (LAAS). I'm also the liaison from the Valley Alliance of Neighborhood Councils (VANC) to LAAS. The Tarzana Neighborhood Council supported the variance for Rockin' Rescue. The TNC has not yet considered this new ordinance, so I am speaking on my own behalf in this letter. I am also a Volunteer at the City's West Valley Animal Shelter.

Thank you for working on this very important proposed ordinance, which will help to save the lives of stray, abandoned, and neglected animals. As noted in Councilmember Blumenfield's motion, the purpose of this amendment is to facilitate the adoption of stray, abandoned, and neglected animals and reduce the euthanasia of healthy adoptable animals in the City's animal shelters. This amendment recognizes the important role that animal rescue and adoption facilities play in supplementing the important work done by the City's Animal Services Department, and the need to allow rescues and adoption facilities to be located in zones throughout the community, not just industrial zones.

However, I believe that the current proposed ordinance is way too restrictive and difficult for many rescue organizations to use. Most rescue organizations are small and lack substantial funds. They do not have the money, resources, and time to go through the burdensome procedure to obtain a Conditional Use Permit (CUP). Because of the overburdensome restrictions in the ordinance as currently drafted, very few rescues will be entitled to operate in commercial zones by right. That will result in rescues not opening because they cannot go through the CUP procedure.

So the main changes I suggest are having less onerous restrictions that require a rescue to obtain a CUP. The more rescues that can operate by right, the more rescues there will be. The more rescues that have to go through a CUP procedure, the less rescues there will be. Please remember that you are dealing with non-profit organizations that are helping animals and the City; restrictions should be kept to a minimum that assures health and safety.

Below are the specific provisions that I recommend changing to make it easier for rescues to operate without having to obtain a CUP. Items with one or two asterisks (*) are the ones I consider most significant:

Proposed Preamble: The purpose of this amendment is to facilitate the adoption of stray, abandoned, and neglected animals and reduce the euthanasia of healthy adoptable animals in the City's animal shelters. This amendment recognizes the important role that animal rescue and adoption facilities play in supplementing the important work done by the City's Animal Services Department, and the need to allow rescues and adoption facilities to be located in zones throughout the community, not just industrial zones.

Section 1, Definition of PET SHOP: Requires that a Pet Shop facility "operates pursuant to a pet shop permit issued by the Department of Animal Services." This

can be expensive for small rescues. I suggest a lower price for small non-profit rescues, to encourage their operation.

Section 1, prohibits "the commercial boarding of animals." Commercial boarding of some animals when there is space is a way for rescues to bring in needed funds. I suggest that a limited amount of commercial boarding be allowed, by right. A certain percentage of kennels, such as 15%, could be used for commercial boarding when the facility is not filled with rescue animals. Many of the animals that will be commercially boarded will be animals that were previously rescued from the facility.

**Section 2, subparagraph 4. As currently written, only rescues in the C2, C4, C5 and CM Zones can operate by right. I suggest that this be expanded to include all commercial zones, or at least additional commercial zones. The more commercial zones that allow rescues by right, the more rescues there will be, which is the purpose of this ordinance. Again, many small rescues cannot afford to go through the CUP process.

*Section 2.(4)(i)a: Less square footage is required for cats than dogs. 60 square feet for dogs is OK; 30 square feet for cats is plenty. So, I suggest the following language: ... one (1) dog for every sixty (60) square feet of floor area of the facility, rounded up to the nearest whole number, whichever results in the greater number of animals. ... one (1) cat for every thirty (30) square feet of floor area of the facility, rounded up to the nearest whole number, whichever results in the greater of the facility, rounded up to the nearest whole number, whichever results in the greater of floor area of the facility, rounded up to the nearest whole number, whichever results in the greater number of animals.

**Section 2.(4)(i)b: Currently requires that "No more than thirty percent (30%) of the floor area of the facility shall be used for overnight boarding." This is overly restrictive and has no apparent purpose. It is better to use *more* area of the rescue overnight when it is closed -- it gives the animals more room, makes them more comfortable, and prevents fights. Crowding the animals is unnecessary and could be dangerous. There is no reason I am aware of not to use all available and safe floor space for overnight boarding. I suggest that this provision be completely eliminated.

**Section 2.(4)(i)c: Currently requires that "Animal boarding areas shall not occupy the area within the first twenty (20) feet, as measured from the storefront of

the facility, and shall be separated from retail, grooming, or food storage areas." This provision unnecessarily decreases the number of animals a rescue may have. It may, in fact, be difficult if not impossible for very small rescues to comply with. As long as the animals are in kennels, what difference does it make how close the kennel is to the front door or to other areas? I suggest that this provision be completely eliminated. If not completely eliminated, I suggest that this be cut down to 5 feet.

**Section 2.(4)(i)e: Currently requires that "Onsite activities shall be conducted wholly within an enclosed building." This is overly restrictive. I suggest having standards for outside activities so that a rescue does not have to go through the CUP procedure to conduct any outside activities. Such a provision could be: Outdoor activities for the animals shall not unreasonably interfere with or bother the neighboring businesses.

**Section 2.(4)(i)f: "Outdoor dog runs and training activities are not permitted." This is overly restrictive and not good for the animals. I suggest having standards for outdoor dog runs and training activities, so that a rescue does not have to go through the CUP procedure to have any outdoor dog runs and training activities. Such a provision could be: Outdoor dog runs and training activities for the animals shall not unreasonably interfere with or bother the neighboring businesses.

I suggest adding the following Section 2.(4)(i)g: Conditional Use Permits or Variances may be granted for any of the uses or activities set forth above, or to vary the above Development Standards, and shall be freely granted in cases where it will not interfere with or bother the neighboring businesses.

**Section 2.(4)(ii)a: Currently requires that "Animals shall not be left outside at any time." This is overly restrictive and not good for the animals. I suggest having standards for outdoor dog runs and training activities, so that a rescue does not have to go through the CUP procedure to have any outdoor dog runs and training activities. Such a provision could be: Outdoor dog runs and training activities for the animals shall not unreasonably interfere with or bother the neighboring businesses.

*Section 2.(4)(ii)b: This can simply state: Animals offered for adoption shall be obtained in compliance with City and State law. If that is not used, the language as

currently written should be expanded to include County animal shelters, strays, and owner surrenders, so that it states: Animals offered for adoption shall be obtained exclusively from the City or County animal shelters, from a humane society, from a non-profit rescue organization that has entered into an agreement with DAS, as strays, or as owner surrenders.

Section 2.(4)(ii)e: Requiring that *no* noise or odor be detectible beyond the property line of the facility is unduly restrictive and discriminatory. Many businesses emit noise and odor, much more than a rescue. Tire shops, gas stations, and many other businesses emit noise beyond their property lines in commercial zones. Homes emit noise beyond their property lines in residential zones.

This requirement could be changed to the following:

Unreasonable noise or odor shall not be detectible beyond the property line of the facility.

or alternatively

Sound proofing material and/or an air filtration system shall be used as needed to address noise and odor issues. The facility shall be cleaned on a daily basis, maintained in an attractive condition, and kept free of debris and litter; collected waste materials must be removed at a minimum of two times per week.

I suggest adding the following Section 2.(4)(ii)g: Conditional Use Permits or Variances may be granted for any of the uses or activities set forth above, or to vary the above Operations Standards, and shall be freely granted in cases where it will not unreasonably interfere with or bother the neighboring businesses.

Subdivision 54: As currently written, Rescues in the CR, C1 and C1.5 Zones require a Conditional Use Permit. As stated above, I suggest including these zones, or at least some of them, as of right. The more commercial zones that allow rescues as of right, the more rescues there will be, which is the purpose of this ordinance. Again, many small rescues cannot afford to go through the CUP process.

Thank you for your work on this important amendment and your consideration of these matters. I look forward to meeting you at the hearing on December 7.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey N. Mausner

Jeffrey Mausner (For identification purposes) Board of Directors and 2nd Vice President, Tarzana Neighborhood Council Chairman, Tarzana Neighborhood Council Animal Welfare Committee Neighborhood Council Liaison to Los Angeles Animal Services Department Volunteer, West Valley Animal Shelter Email: Jeff@MausnerLaw.com; J.Mausner@TarzanaNC.org Cell phone: (310) 617-8100

cc: Councilmember Bob Blumenfield Andrew Pennington, Director of Land Use & Planning John Popoch, Deputy Chief of Staff Svetlana Pravina, Field Deputy

Councilmember Paul Koretz James Bickhart, Policy and Legislative Consultant Elaine De Leon, Executive Assistant

Leonard Shaffer, President Eran Heissler, 1st Vice President Tarzana Neighborhood Council

Brenda Barnette, General Manager Dana Brown, Assistant General Manager Los Angeles Animal Services Department

Commission President Larry Gross Commission Vice President Olivia Garcia Commissioner Layne David Dicker Commissioner Alisa Finsten Commissioner Roger Wolfson Los Angeles Board of Animal Services Commissioners

Fw: CASE: CPC-2017-4075-CA , ENV-2017-4076-EAF, Pet Shop Ordinance, for the hearing on December 7, 2017 at 10 A.M.

Jeffrey Mausner

Sun 12/17/2017 12:01 PM

To:Jeffrey Mausner <jeff@mausnerlaw.com>;

1 attachments (116 KB)

Yi Lu.2.pdf;

From: Jeffrey Mausner
Sent: Sunday, December 17, 2017 11:57 AM
To: yi.lu@lacity.org
Cc: Layne Dicker (laynedaviddicker@gmail.com); Councilmember Bob Blumenfield; Andrew Pennington (Andrew.Pennington@lacity.org); John Popoch; Svetlana Pravina (Svetlana.Pravina@lacity.org); Paul Kor

(Andrew.Pennington@lacity.org); John Popoch; Svetlana Pravina (Svetlana.Pravina@lacity.org); Paul Koretz; James Bickhart; 'Elaine De Leon'; Leonard Shaffer (I.shaffer@tarzananc.org); Eran Heissler (heissler18@aol.com); Brenda Barnette (Brenda.Barnette@LACity.org); Dana Brown; w.tranzow@lacity.org; Larry Gross; oliviaegarcia@outlook.com; Alisa Finsten (alisafinsten@gmail.com); Roger Wolfson; Ady Gil (ady@ady.com); Shivaun.Cooney@lw.com; Winston.Stromberg@lw.com; Tom.Rothmann@LACity.org

Subject: Re: CASE: CPC-2017-4075-CA , ENV-2017-4076-EAF, Pet Shop Ordinance, for the hearing on December 7, 2017 at 10 A.M.

Dear Ms. Lu: It was nice meeting you at the hearing for the proposed Pet Shop Ordinance on December 7. In addition to the suggestions contained in the attached letter, which was submitted at the December 7 hearing, I have the additional suggestions set forth below, based on input I received from other interested parties:

1. Call this new ordinance the Animal Rescue Ordinance, rather than Pet Shop Ordinance.

2. As currently drafted, the proposed ordinance requires that Rescues in zones CR, C1, and C1.5, and rescues in the other commercial zones that do not meet the Development Standards or Operations Standards, obtain a Conditional Use Permit (CUP), which is a burdensome, expensive, and time consuming procedure. Instead, these rescues should be allowed to obtain a Zoning Administrator (ZA) Adjustment. Utilizing a ZA Adjustment rather than a CUP will save Rescues money and time and result in more Rescues being able to operate in Los Angeles. As set forth in the attached letter, most Rescue Organizations are small and lack substantial funds. They do not have the money, resources, and time to go through the burdensome procedure to obtain a CUP. As well as liberalizing some of the requirements for a Rescue to operate by right, as set forth in the attached letter, using the ZA Adjustment

procedure for those that cannot operate by right, rather than the CUP procedure, will achieve the proposed ordinance's purpose of allowing more Animal Rescues to operate in commercial zones.

Thank you again for your work on this important amendment, which will help save the lives of animals and maintain the City's goal of No-Kill L.A.

Sincerely, Jeffrey Mausner

Jeffrey N. Mausner Cell phone: (310) 617-8100 e-mail: jeff@mausnerlaw.com

From: Jeffrey Mausner Sent: Wednesday, December 6, 2017 7:21 AM To: yi.lu@lacity.org Cc:

Subject: CASE: CPC-2017-4075-CA , ENV-2017-4076-EAF, Pet Shop Ordinance, for the hearing on December 7, 2017 at 10 A.M.

To: Yi Lu Hearing Officer Department of City Planning yi.lu@lacity.org

Attached hereto, please find a letter regarding CASE: CPC-2017-4075-CA, ENV-2017-4076-EAF, Pet Shop Ordinance, for the hearing on December 7, 2017 at 10 A.M.

Best regards, Jeffrey Mausner

Jeffrey N. Mausner Cell phone: (310) 617-8100 e-mail: jeff@mausnerlaw.com Tarzana Property Owners Association, Inc.

December 19, 2017

Yi Lu Los Angeles Department of City Planning

Subject: Pet Shop Code Amendment, CPC-2017-4075-CA

The Board of Directors of the Tarzana Property Owners Association voted to oppose the proposed Pet Shop Code Amendment, CPC-2017-4075-CA, as it is currently written. In the first place, the title is very misleading as this is an ordinance pertaining to **kennels**, not pet shops. While pet shops, with a limited number of animals may be appropriate in the C2 zone, we certainly oppose kennels, with up to 20 animals (more if the facility is greater than 1200 square feet) in C2 zones.

Does anyone really believe that a kennel with 20 or more undisciplined dogs is appropriate adjacent to a restaurant or most other facilities found in the C2 zone? Is the noise or odor associated with such a facility appropriate for the types of stores normally found in the C2 zone or for nearby residential zoned properties? Is the waste water associated with washing down the facility (not to mention feces and urine on the property or nearby areas used to walk the animals) healthy for the area? Remember that wastewater often collects in gutters and often does not quickly empty into sewers. While the proposed ordinance would prohibit **ingress or egress** from such a facility "that abuts, is across the street, alley or walk from, or has a common corner with any land zoned

residential", it does not prohibit the facility from being situated in any of those positions, including directly adjacent to residential zoned property; it simply prohibits ingress or egress.

While we agree with a number of the provisions of the ordinance, including the prohibition of dogs being left outdoors at any time, prohibition of outdoor training facilities and dog runs, and limits on the sources of animals available for adoption, we find the provision that no noise or odor be detectable beyond the property line rather hard to accomplish. How likely is a facility to be soundproof when 20 or more dogs are barking?

We realize that an application for a Conditional Use Permit to allow an animal kennel in a C2 zone is a time consuming and expensive proposition and suggest that a simpler action, such as a Zoning Administrator's Adjustment, with a mandatory public hearing, may be appropriate in those instances where the facility would not be within 500 feet of an existing restaurant or other public commercial facility.

In summary, while we are sympathetic to the plight of rescue animals, we are adamantly opposed to allowing such facilities by right in the C2 zone.

David R. Garfinkle,

President, Tarzana Property Owners Association

Cc: Councilmember Blumenfield, Andrew Pennington, Councilmenber Koretz

www.tarzanapropertyowners.org Post Office Box 571448, Tarzana, California, 91357-1448 Jeffrey Mausner 6222 Amigo Ave. Tarzana, CA 91335 Phone: 310-617-8100 Email: Jeff@MausnerLaw.com

October 7, 2017

Dr. Jan Green Rebstock, Environmental Supervisor II Christopher Adams Bureau of Engineering Department of Public Works City of Los Angeles

Gilberto Ruiz, Senior Project Manager Tanvi Lal, Project Manager ICF

Re: Los Angeles Citywide Cat Program

Dear Dr. Rebstock, Mr. Ruiz, Ms. Lal, and Mr. Adams:

I am writing this letter on my own behalf, not on behalf of the Neighborhood Council.

It was good meeting you at the Scoping meeting at the East Valley Animal Shelter on September 28. Thank you for speaking with me and the others at the meeting, and taking our input, regarding the scoping process for the Citywide Cat Program Environmental Impact Report (EIR).

I believe that Trap Neuter Return (TNR) is the humane and civilized way to deal with free roaming cats. The way that the Urban Wildlands Group, Audubon Society, and the other plaintiffs in the lawsuit want to do it, by mass slaughtering of free roaming cats, is horrific, inhumane, and contrary to a civilized society.

I am absolutely astounded that so-called environmental groups would advocate the wholesale killing of thousands of cats. But of course, that is exactly what the

entire lawsuit and injunction is about. Urban Wildlands, along with the Audubon Society and other plaintiffs, should be ashamed of the position they have taken in support of killing animals. They and their injunction are responsible for the death of thousands of cats.

Urban Wildlands, Audubon Society, and the other plaintiffs are costing the City hundreds of thousands of dollars, by requiring this EIR, money that could be used to spay/neuter thousands of cats, which would deal with this issue.

I request that the Environmental Impact Report consider the morality of the alternative solution for free roaming cats proposed by Urban Wildlands and Audubon. While mass extermination may be more efficient or cost less (and of course that isn't a given -- hence the reason for the EIR), the inhumanity of that, and the effect it will have on millions of citizens of our City and throughout the world, should be considered. How will it look if Los Angeles deals with free roaming cats by killing them all rather than using a civilized method. Also consider the impact of having to kill these cats on the employees of Los Angeles Animal Services Department who have to carry out this edict. The City provides counseling for employees of LAAS who carry out killings of animals at the Shelters; how much more will this cost if they have to unnecessarily kill tens of thousands of cats.

The opponents of Trap, Neuter Return (TNR) make much of their claim that a certain percentage of free roaming cats would have to be spay/neutered to have an impact on the population. This is a red herring. Even if true, wouldn't the same be true for the percentage of free roaming cats that have to be killed? Isn't it better to spay/neuter them rather than kill them?

A possible suggestion for the scope of the EIR: A person at the Animal Welfare Committee meeting suggested that the EIR could include a study of the impact on the number of rats in the City if free roaming cats are killed rather than neutered and returned.

I would also like to respond to several things that Travis Longcore of the Urban Wildlands Group said in his letter to David Zaft, President of the Board of Animal Services Commissioners at the time, dated November 7, 2016.

Longcore's criticism of the goal of reducing "euthanasia" of cats in City Shelters (page 3) is perverse. (His use of the term "euthanasia" is incorrect. Euthanasia is defined as: killing or permitting the death of hopelessly sick or injured animals in a relatively painless way for reasons of mercy. See Merriam Webster.) What he is advocating is actually the killing of cats, not euthanasia. That is, in particular, the case when there is a readily available alternative to the killing, like TNR. Contrary

to what Longcore says, the City of Los Angeles, City Council, L.A. Animal Services, and the Board of Animal Services Commissioners should be commended for wanting to reduce the killing of cats in the City Animal Shelters.

Longcore's criticism of Brenda Barnette for not immediately killing cats at the end of the minimum hold period, possibly to explore alternatives to killing them such as adoption or rescue, is also perverse. Not only does Longcore want more cats killed at the City Shelters, he wants them killed more quickly. The reason to kill them more quickly? To save a little money. How about withdrawing the lawsuit and injunction -- that will save the City \$800,000, the expected cost of the EIR.

Longcore concludes: "The primary goal of any stray/feral cat program, both from an environmental perspective and a humane perspective, should be to reduce the number of unowned cats in the most efficient way. TNR programs simply have not been shown to do that. They can reduce the number of cats that are euthanized at shelters, because those cats are released outside, shifting the burden onto the environment and residents of the community. But sterilization of a tiny fraction of outdoor cats does not reduce the overall impact of unowned free-roaming cats on the environment." Longcore letter, page 8.

What Longcore overlooks is that the specific cats that are spay/neutered, livingbreathing animals, aren't killed, and they won't produce any more feral cats because they are neutered. That is what should be done from a "humane perspective."

And if it is true, as Longcore states, that spay/neutering the small percentage of cats that are brought in to the Shelters will have no effect on the overall feral cat population, why will killing the same number of cats have such an effect?

What Longcore and these "environmental" groups are advocating is not in any way humane. It is completely inhumane. Furthermore, by pursuing the lawsuit and injunction, they are requiring the City to expend \$800,000 to conduct the EIR. Because of their unreasonable position, it has to be done. I certainly hope the City will never provide any funding or benefits to the plaintiffs in the lawsuit after requiring the City to spend this money.

Once again, thank you to the City of Los Angeles, the City Council, Los Angeles Animal Services Department, the Board of Animal Services Commissioners, and the Department of Public Works for your work on this important project.

Sincerely,

Juffrey N. Mausner

cc: Councilmember Bob Blumenfield Svetlana Pravina John Popoch

Councilmember Paul Koretz James Bickhart Elaine De Leon

Brenda Barnette, General Manager Dana Brown, Assistant General Manager Louis Dedeaux, Acting Assistant General Manager Los Angeles Animal Services Department

Commission President Larry Gross Commission Vice President Olivia Garcia Commissioner Layne David Dicker Commissioner Alisa Finsten Commissioner Roger Wolfson Los Angeles Board of Animal Services Commissioners





TARZANA NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL P.O. BOX 571016 TARZANA, CA 91357 (818) 921-4992 <u>tnc@tarzananc.org</u>

October 7, 2017

Dr. Jan Green Rebstock, Environmental Supervisor II Christopher Adams Bureau of Engineering Department of Public Works City of Los Angeles

Gilberto Ruiz, Senior Project Manager Tanvi Lal, Project Manager ICF

Re: Los Angeles Citywide Cat Program

Dear Dr. Rebstock, Mr. Ruiz, Ms. Lal, and Mr. Adams:

It was nice meeting you at the Scoping meeting at the East Valley Animal Shelter on September 28. Thank you for speaking with me and the others at the meeting, and taking our input, regarding the scoping process for the Citywide Cat Program Environmental Impact Report (EIR).

As I advised you at the Scoping meeting, on September 26, 2017, at its regularly scheduled public meeting, the Tarzana Neighborhood Council (TNC) Board passed the following motion:

Council File 17-0413 Resolved: The TNC Board approves the Animal Welfare Committee's request to file a CIS in support of Council File 17-0413 directing the appropriate city departments to prepare an EIR to allow reconsideration of a proposed Citywide Cat Program that applies to all "free roaming cats" including feral cats and stray cats, utilizing the Project Description as detailed in the April 11, 2017 Department of Animal Services (DAS) report. The Animal Welfare Committee Chair is authorized to communicate this resolution to Brenda Barnette, Dana Brown and Louis Dedeaux of the Animal Services Dept., the Board of Animal Services Commissioners, Councilmembers Koretz and Blumenfield, and at public meetings for the scoping process.

The agenda containing this resolution is attached. (Please see page 5 of the attachments, paragraph 15.) This resolution was passed unanimously, with only 1 abstention by a new Board Member who was not familiar with the issues. This resolution was previously passed unanimously by the TNC Animal Welfare Committee. (Please see page 9 of the attachments.)

Thank you to all of you for your work on this EIR.

Sincerely, Jeffrey N. Mausner

Jeffrey Mausner Board of Directors and 2nd Vice President, Tarzana Neighborhood Council (TNC)

Chair, TNC Animal Welfare Committee

TNC and Valley Alliance of Neighborhood Councils (VANC) Liaison to Los Angeles Animal Services Dept

Volunteer, West Valley Animal Shelter

cc: Councilmember Bob Blumenfield Svetlana Pravina John Popoch Councilmember Paul Koretz James Bickhart Elaine De Leon

Brenda Barnette, General Manager Dana Brown, Assistant General Manager Louis Dedeaux, Acting Assistant General Manager Los Angeles Animal Services Department Commission President Larry Gross Commission Vice President Olivia Garcia Commissioner Layne David Dicker Commissioner Alisa Finsten Commissioner Roger Wolfson Los Angeles Board of Animal Services Commissioners Officers

Leonard J. Shaffer President Eran Heissler 1st VP Jon Reich 2nd VP Harvey Goldberg Treasurer Max Flehinger Exec. Secretary

> **Board Members** David Garfinkle

David Garfinkle Esther Wieder Joyce Greene Kenneth Schwartz Jennifer Varela Pam Blattner Susan Lord Richard Silverman Bob Shmaeff Terry Saucier Iris Polonsky Jeff Mausner Elliot Durant Barry Edelman

CITY OF LOS ANGELES



TARZANA NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL P.O. Box 571016 Tarzana, CA 91357

TELEPHONE (818) 921-4992 <u>tnc@tarzananc.org</u> <u>www.tarzananc.org</u>

TARZANA NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL BOARD MEETING AGENDA Tuesday September 26, 2017 7:00 PM Tarzana Elementary School Auditorium 5726 Topeka Dr Tarzana, CA 91356

The public is requested to fill out a "**Speaker Card**" to address the Board on any item of the agenda prior to the Board taking action on an item. Comments from the public on Agenda items will be heard only when the respective item is being considered unless a board member requests that it be called out of order. Speakers shall limit their comments to matters relevant to the item on the agenda. The Chair may rule that the speaker is out of order if the comments are not germane to the item under consideration. If multiple speaker cards are submitted on one agenda item, preference will be granted to members of the public who have not spoken previously during the meeting, either during public comment or on another agenda item.

Comments from the public on other matters not appearing on the Agenda that are within the Board's subject matter jurisdiction will be heard during the Public Comment period. Public comment is limited to 2 minutes per speaker, unless waived by the presiding officer of the Board.

As a covered entity under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City of Los Angeles does not discriminate on the basis of disability and upon request, will provide reasonable accommodation to ensure equal access to its programs, services, and activities. Sign language interpreters, assistive listening devices, or other auxiliary aids and/or services may be provided upon request. To ensure availability of services, please make your request at least 72 hours prior to the meeting you wish to attend by contacting Leonard Shaffer at (818) 921-4992 or by email at <u>tnc@tarzananc.org</u>.

- 1. Call to Order, Roll Call, Welcoming Remarks and Pledge
- **2.** Remarks by representatives of public officials
- **3.** Public Comments Comments from the public on non-agenda items within the Board's subject matter jurisdiction. Public comments are limited to two minutes per speaker.
- **4.** Budget Advocate Report
- **5.** Presentation: Food & Water Watch Proposal to ban drilling and other oil field activities within 2500 feet of residential properties and other specified locations in Los Angeles
- 6. Discussion and motion Approval of minutes of August 22, 2017 meeting.
- 7. Discussion and motion: Approval of August 2017 expenditures for submission to DONE (MER)
- **8.** Discussion and motion: Approval of Financial Statements as of August 31, 2017
- **9.** Discussion and motion: The TNC Board approves the Executive Committee's recommendation to appoint Susan Rogen to the open South Area Representative board position.
- **10.** Discussion and motion: Resolved: The TNC Board approves the Budget Committee's recommendation regarding the request from the Animal Welfare Committee to purchase a "Bone Pool," a wading pool for dogs, for the use of the Volunteers at the West Valley Shelter for not to exceed \$325.00.
- **11.** Discussion and motion: Resolved: The TNC Board approves the Budget Committee's recommendation regarding the request from the Animal Welfare Committee to purchase a canopy for use of the Volunteers at the West Valley Shelter, in the backyard, for not to exceed \$325.00.

12. Discussion and motion: Resolved: Based on approval of the above agenda items 10 and 11 above the TNC Board approves a revised budget for the fiscal year July 1, 2017-June 30, 2018 as follows: General and Operational Expenditures \$10,080.00, Neighborhood Purpose Grants \$550 and Community Improvement Projects \$8,928.57, for a total budget of \$19,558.57.

Consent Calendar Items; The following items will be passed by consent unless a board member request the item to be pulled for discussion or a member of the public wishes to address the item: 13,14, 15, 16, 18 and 20

- **13.** Discussion and motion: **Council File 17-0002-S66** Resolved: The TNC Board approves the Animal Welfare Committee's request to file a CIS supporting Council File 17-0002-S66 regarding AB1199 (the Pet Canine Encounters Protection Act), which will provide training to California peace officers about how to both quickly and safely respond to unexpected situations when encountering a dog, which invaluable training will give them the tools to protect themselves as well as the life of a treasured canine family member. The Animal Welfare Committee Chair is authorized to send a letter to Assemblymember Nazarian informing him of our support for the city's position
- 14. Discussion and motion: Council File 17-0170 Resolved: The TNC Board approves the Animal Welfare Committee's request to file a CIS in support of Council File 17-0170 re-affirming the city council's goal to make Los Angeles a "no kill" city for all healthy adoptable shelter animals by December 31, 2017. The Animal Welfare Committee Chair is authorized to communicate this resolution to Brenda Barnette, Dana Brown and Louis Dedeaux of the Animal Services Dept., the Board of Animal Services Commissioners, and Councilmembers Koretz and Blumenfield.
- **15.** Discussion and Motion: **Council File 17-0413** Resolved: The TNC Board approves the Animal Welfare Committee's request to file a CIS in support of Council File 17-0413 directing the appropriate city departments to prepare an EIR to allow reconsideration of a proposed Citywide Cat Program that applies to all "free roaming cats" including feral cats and stray cats, utilizing the Project Description as detailed in the April 11, 2017 Department of Animal Services (DAS) report. The Animal Welfare Committee Chair is authorized to communicate this resolution to Brenda Barnette, Dana Brown and Louis Dedeaux of the Animal Services Dept., the Board of Animal Services Commissioners, Councilmembers Koretz and Blumenfield, and at public meetings for the scoping process.
- 16. Discussion and motion: Resolved: The TNC Board approves the Animal Welfare Committee's request to support the use of all kennels at the West Valley Shelter, including the Training Kennels, Puppy Kennels, and kennels in the Grooming Room, before any healthy, adoptable animals are killed for lack of space at any of the City Shelters. The Animal Welfare Committee Chair is authorized to communicate this resolution to Brenda Barnette, Dana Brown and Louis Dedeaux of the Animal Services Dept., the Board of Animal Services Commissioners, and Councilmembers Koretz and Blumenfield.
- **17.** Discussion and motion: Resolved: The TNC Board approves the Land Use Committee's recommendations regarding the Encino-Tarzana Community Plan update as follows:

1. **Zoning**: Retain the existing zoning throughout the community. Except that properties on the full extent the south side of Ventura Boulevard be zoned commercial.

2. Mixed Use: Encourage mixed use along Ventura and Reseda Boulevards, but require a 16 foot height for first story commercial and retail use.. If so, the allowable building height to be increased to 36 feet except that for properties between Etiwanda Avenue and Wilbur Avenue on the north side of the Ventura Boulevard the allowable height be increased to 51 feet.

3. **Boundary**: Include the portion of Tarzana north of Topham Street/Oxnard Street and south of Victory Boulevard in the Encino-Tarzana Community Plan.

4. Population Increase: Recognizing the need to accommodate increased population, allow an additional story in height and increased FAR in the multi-family zoned portion of Tarzana for properties that require a portion of each new construction or major remodel to include very low income, low income, and moderate income units.

5. Orange Line Stations: Upgrade the existing Orange Line stations, particularly the station at Reseda/Oxnard, to provide restrooms and other amenities such as snack bars.

6. Parks: Explore the possibility of designating the space under the power lines along Crebs Avenue for parks.

7. Major commercial street upgrades: Upgrade the commercial, retail, and office spaces along Ventura and Reseda Boulevards to require increased setback and wider sidewalks to increase pedestrian friendliness for all new construction or major remodel. Install X crosswalks (pedestrian scramble) on Ventura Boulevard at Yolanda Avenue, and at Reseda Boulevard at Burbank. Increase the allowable building height to 36 feet except that for properties between Etiwanda Avenue and Wilbur Avenue on the north side of the boulevard, the allowable height to be increased to 51 feet. 5

8. Melody Acres footnotes: To maintain the character of the neighborhood, retain the requirement, currently in Footnote 6 of the current Community Plan, to require a 20,000 square foot minimum lot size for properties bounded by Tampa Avenue on the east, Corbin Avenue on the west, Topham Street on the north, and the 101 Freeway on the south. In addition, in that area, retain the rural feeling by waiver of the requirements of the Bureau of Engineering regarding street improvements such as, but not limited to, curbs, gutters, street width, and lighting. Furthermore, retain the horse keeping rights and regulations regarding distance of existing and potential future horse keeping areas from neighboring residences in a way that is consistent with the Municipal Code.

9. Upper story setback. For properties bounded by Tampa Avenue on the east, Corbin Avenue on the west, Topham Street on the north, and the 101 Freeway on the south, and perhaps for all Very Low Residential 1 properties, require upper story front and side setbacks while eliminating the current bonus for these setbacks.

10. Cool roofs. Require the use of "cool roofs" on new construction and remodeling to minimize the heat emitted by rooftops.

11. Tree canopy and use of drought resistant plants. Require that new construction and major remodeling along Ventura Boulevard and Reseda Boulevard plant drought tolerant trees with root barriers along their street frontage and encourage the use of drought resistant plants in all zones.

12. Parking Structures. Consider adding City built parking structures, either above ground or subterranean, near areas of major commercial activity along Ventura Boulevard

- 18. Discussion and motion: Resolved: The TNC Board approves the Transportation Committee's recommendation that a letter be sent to BSS GM Nazario Sauceda regarding the known, dangerous conditions caused by tree roots lifting the street on Elenita St. between Greenbriar Dr and Valdez Dr and on Greenbriar Dr between Coldstream Terr and Midwick Ln.
- Discussion and possible motion: Should the TNC create a Special Events Committee and allow the Outreach 19. Committee to concentrate on outreach via social media, email and news media.
- 20. Discussion and motion: Resolved: The TNC Board approves the Executive Committee's recommendation to send a letter to Governor Brown requesting that he sign AB908 allowing Providence Tarzana Medical Center to delay earthquake retrofitting of the current medical tower until 2022 to allow construction of the new medical tower.
- Discussion and motion: The TNC Board accepts the resignation of Board member Jon Reich communicated to 21. the Executive Committee via email on August 31, 2017 and thanks him for his many contributions to the TNC.

22. **Committee and other Reports** (General committee reports will be limited to 3 minutes)

- Outreach Land Use Budget Transportation Public Safety Rules Government Action Animal Welfare DWP MOU **Budget Representative Report** VANC Report Beautification Homeless Representative
- 23. President's Remarks NC Congress Guy Bachar
- 24. Board Member Comment - Comments from Board on subject matters within the Board's jurisdiction Future Agenda Items and other Calendar Events.
- 25. Adjournment

For more information about the Tarzana Neighborhood Council visit our web site at www.tarzananc.org

In compliance with Government Code section 54957.5, non-exempt writings that are distributed to a majority or all of the board in advance of a meeting, may be viewed at our website by clicking on the following link: http://www.tarzananc.org/boardmeetings.php, or at the scheduled meeting. In addition, if you would like a copy of any record related to an item on the agenda, please contact us at tnc@tarzananc.org or 818-921-4992.

Any materials that may be distributed to a majority of the Board less than 72 hours prior to the above scheduled meeting are available for review by the public at 19040 Vanowen Street, Reseda, CA 91335 or on our website at <u>thc@tarzananc.org</u> Process for Reconsideration – Reconsideration of Board actions shall be in accordance with the Tarzana Neighborhood Council bylaws. CITY OF LOS ANGELES

TARZANA NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL P.O. Box 571016 Tarzana, CA 91357

TELEPHONE (818) 921-4992 <u>tnc@tarzananc.org</u> <u>www.tarzananc.org</u>



TARZANA NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL

C/O Department of Neighborhood Empowerment 200 N. Spring St. Suite 2005 Los Angeles, CA 90012 TELEPHONE (213) 978-1551 Fax (213) 978-1751

TARZANA NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL ANIMAL WELFARE COMMITTEE MEETING AGENDA

September 11, 2017 at 7:00 pm Tarzana Child Care Center 5700 Beckford Ave., Tarzana, CA 91356, Chairman - Jeffrey Mausner Committee members

Mary Aratounian, Eva Brusa, Lynn Davis, Michael Gross, Shelley Gross, Janet Mausner, Deanna Dylan Scott, Renee Shamloo, and Jennifer Varela

The public is requested to fill out a "**Speaker Card**" to address the Board on any item of the agenda prior to the Board taking action on an item. Comments from the public on Agenda items will be heard only when the respective item is being considered. Comments from the public on other matters not appearing on the Agenda that is within the Board's subject matter jurisdiction will be heard during the Public Comment period. Public comment is limited to 2 minutes per speaker, unless waived by the presiding officer of the Board.

As a covered entity under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City of Los Angeles does not discriminate on the basis of disability and upon request, will provide reasonable accommodation to ensure equal access to its programs, services, and activities. Sign language interpreters, assistive listening devices, or other auxiliary aids and/or services may be provided upon request. To ensure availability of services, please make your request at least 72 hours prior to the meeting you wish to attend by contacting Leonard Shaffer at (818) 921-4992 or by email at tnc@tarzananc.org.

1. Call to Order and Welcoming Remarks.

2. Approval of Minutes of the May 9, 2017 meeting - Action Item.

3. Discussion and possible motion: **Resolved: The Tarzana Neighborhood Council Animal Welfare Committee (TNC AWC) requests that the TNC Board pass a resolution of support for AB 1199, the Pet Canine Encounters Protection Act, send a letter to Assembly Member Nazarian informing him of its support and thanking him for his work on the bill, and file a Community Impact Statement supporting the City Council Resolution supporting this bill, Council File No. 17-0002-S66.**

4. Discussion and possible motion: **Resolved: The Tarzana Neighborhood Council Animal Welfare Committee (TNC AWC) requests that the TNC Board pass a resolution supporting the use of all kennels at the West Valley Animal Shelter, including the Training Kennels, Puppy Kennels, and kennels in the Grooming Room, before any healthy adoptable animals are killed for lack of space at any of the City Shelters, and communicate its support of this use to Brenda Barnette, General Manager of Los Angeles Animal Services, Dana Brown and Louis Dedeaux, Assistant General Managers, and Councilmembers Koretz and Blumenfield.** 5. Discussion and possible motion: **Resolved:** The Tarzana Neighborhood Council Animal Welfare Committee (TNC AWC) requests that the TNC Board pass a resolution of support for the implementation of No-Kill of healthy adoptable dogs, cats, and rabbits at the City Animal Shelters by December 31, 2017, communicate its support of this to Brenda Barnette, General Manager of Los Angeles Animal Services, Dana Brown and Louis Dedeaux, Assistant General Managers, and Councilmembers Koretz and Blumenfield, and file a Community Impact Statement in support of the City Council's reaffirmance of this goal, Council File No. 17-0170.

6. Discussion and possible motion: **Resolved: The Tarzana Neighborhood Council Animal Welfare Committee (TNC AWC) requests that the TNC Board pass a resolution and file a Community Impact Statement in support of City Council File 17-0413, relative to the preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) in connection with the proposed Citywide Cat Program,** which directs appropriate City Departments to prepare an EIR pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act utilizing the Project Description as detailed in the April 11, 2017 Department of Animal Services (DAS) report; communicate its support of this to Brenda Barnette, General Manager of Los Angeles Animal Services, Dana Brown, Assistant General Manager, **Councilmembers Koretz and Blumenfield, and the City Attorney's Office; and thank them for their continued support.**

7. Discussion and possible motion regarding submission of a request to the Budget Committee to purchase a "Bone Pool," a wading pool for dogs, for the use of the Volunteers at the West Valley Shelter, for an amount not to exceed \$300.00. This will allow the dogs to cool off on hot days on the exercise yard.

8. Discussion and possible motion regarding submission of a request to the Budget Committee to purchase an approximately 12 x 20 foot canopy for the use of the Volunteers at the West Valley Shelter, in the back yard, for an amount not to exceed \$300.00. This will provide shade for the Volunteers when they take the dogs into the back yard. The previous canopy we purchased was for the exercise yard and has proven to be very popular with the Volunteers, shielding them from the sun and providing some relief from the high temperatures.

9. Discussion regarding the killing of dogs, cats, and other animals at the City Animal Shelters.

10. Comments by the Chair and discussion regarding:

a. RFP (Request for Proposals) for the Jefferson Park Animal Shelter.

b. Toffy, dog who was transferred from the West Valley Shelter to the South L.A. Shelter, who has now been rescued.

c. Volunteers at the West Valley Animal Shelter.

d. AB 485, the Pet Rescue and Adoption Act.

11. Public Comments – Comments from the public on non-agenda items within the Committee's subject matter jurisdiction. Public comments are limited to two minutes per speaker.

9

12. Committee member comments on subject matter within the Committee's jurisdiction.

- 13. Future agenda items and other calendar events.
- 14. Adjournment.

For more information about the Tarzana Neighborhood Council and Animal Welfare Committee please visit our web site at <u>www.tarzananc.org</u>, http://tarzananc.org/committees.php?committee_id=25

For information about the Temporary Animal Foster Parent Program at the West Valley Shelter, and for an application to become a foster parent, please visit: <u>http://tarzananc.org/committees.php?committee_id=25</u> and

http://tarzananc.org/docs/1307-1082.pdf

For information about dogs, cats, and rabbits available at the West Valley Animal Shelter, please visit out Featured Shelter Animals page at

http://tarzananc.org/shelter.php?dbshelter_categories_id=1

Any materials that may be distributed to a majority of the Board less than 72 hours prior to the above scheduled meeting are available for review by the public at 19040 Vanowen Street, Reseda, CA 91335.

In compliance with Government Code section 54957.5, non-exempt writings that are distributed to a majority or all of the committee in advance of a meeting, may be viewed at our website by clicking on the following link: <u>www.tarzananc.org/committees.php?committee_id=4</u>, or at the scheduled meeting. In addition, if you would like a copy of any record related to an item on the agenda, please contact us at <u>tnc@tarzananc.org</u> or 818-921-4992

Process for Reconsideration – Reconsideration of Board actions shall be in accordance with the Tarzana Neighborhood Council bylaws.





TARZANA NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL P.O. BOX 571016 TARZANA, CA 91357 (818) 921-4992 <u>tnc@tarzananc.org</u>

November 1, 2017

The Honorable Adrin Nazarian Assemblymember, 46th District State Capitol Sacramento, CA 94249

Dear Assemblymember Nazarian:

On September 26, 2017, at its regular monthly public meeting, the Tarzana Neighborhood Council (TNC) Board passed the following resolution:

Resolved: The TNC Board approves the Animal Welfare Committee's request to file a CIS [Community Impact Statement] supporting Council File 17-0002-S66 regarding AB1199 (the Pet Canine Encounters Protection Act), which will provide training to California peace officers about how to both quickly and safely respond to unexpected situations when encountering a dog, which invaluable training will give them the tools to protect themselves as well as the life of a treasured canine family member. The Animal Welfare Committee Chair is authorized to send a letter to Assemblymember Nazarian informing him of our support for the city's position.

The resolution passed unanimously; one new member who was not familiar with the issue abstained. (Please see the attached Minutes, paragraph 13.) The resolution was previously passed unanimously by the TNC Animal Welfare Committee.

Pursuant to that resolution, we want to inform you of our support for AB 1199, the Pet Canine Encounters Protection Act, and thank you and your staff for your work as the author of the bill. This bill will decrease the number of dogs killed or seriously injured in encounters with police officers, and give police officers alternative methods to protect themselves and their fellow officers. Your support for the welfare of our animals and police officers is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey M. Mausner

Jeffrey Mausner Board of Directors and 2nd Vice President, Tarzana Neighborhood Council Chairman, Tarzana Neighborhood Council Animal Welfare Committee Neighborhood Council Liaison to Los Angeles Animal Services Department Volunteer, West Valley Animal Shelter Email: Jeff@MausnerLaw.com; J.Mausner@TarzanaNC.org Cell phone: (310) 617-8100

cc: Cynthia Alvarez, Legislative Director Emellia Zamani, Legislative Aide Emma Taylor, Field Representative

Leonard Shaffer, President Eran Heissler, 1st Vice President Tarzana Neighborhood Council

Mary Aratounian, TNC Animal Welfare Committee

Board of Animal Services Commissioners, November 14, 2017 Meeting.

Good morning. I'm Jeffrey Mausner. I'm a Board Member and the 2nd Vice President of the Tarzana Neighborhood Council (TNC); I'm Chair of its Animal Welfare Committee. I'm also the liaison from the TNC to the Los Angeles Animal Services Department (LAAS). I'm also the liaison from the Valley Alliance of Neighborhood Councils (VANC) to LAAS. I give reports about what is going on at the Shelters once a month to the TNC, and to officers from all of the Neighborhood Councils in the Valley at the VANC meetings.

I've given you a packet of materials. The Minutes from the September 26 TNC meeting are pages 1-5. I'm going to be speaking about 2 of the resolutions passed: paragraphs 14 and 16.

¶14, on page 3: "The TNC Board approves the Animal Welfare Committee's request to file a CIS [Community Impact Statement] in support of Council File 17-0170 reaffirming the city council's goal to make Los Angeles a "no kill" city for all healthy adoptable shelter animals **by December 31, 2017.**" No further extensions.

¶16, on pages 3-4: "Resolved: The TNC Board approves the Animal Welfare Committee's request to support the use of all kennels at the West Valley Shelter, including the Training Kennels, Puppy Kennels, and kennels in the Grooming Room, before any healthy, adoptable animals are killed for lack of space at any of the City Shelters." I'm authorized to communicate that to you all.

Pages 6 to 11 of the handout are print-outs from the LAAS website, showing the numbers of dogs and cats at each of the Shelters yesterday. Page 6 shows 224 dogs and 94 cats at the South LA Shelter. Page 7 shows 108 dogs and 52 cats, about half of what is at South LA. Page 8 shows that Harbor has 70 dogs. And so on.

If you look at the latest Woof Stat report for September, you can see a huge difference in the number of dogs and cats killed at each Shelter. Page 12 shows that 70 dogs and 95 cats were killed at the South LA Shelter in September. You can't tell how many of those dogs and cats were euthanized for medical reasons, i.e., they were terminally sick or in pain. You can't tell how many of the dogs were too aggressive to be adopted or rescued. That's the first point I want to make. LAAS isn't being transparent regarding how many healthy adoptable dogs are being killed at each of the Shelters. The only way to find out is to see which dogs are taken off the Red List each day, go to the Shelter, and ask individually why each of those dogs was taken off the Red List, a difficult and time consuming task. That gives some idea of whether healthy adoptable dogs are being killed, but probably not all. And it has to be done at each Shelter. I do it at West Valley, but I don't think it's being done at the other Shelters. So, especially as we move into No-Kill in a month and a half, LAAS should start providing information about whether any healthy adoptable dogs, cats, and other animals are killed at any of the Shelters. I have received communications from Volunteers at South LA, letting me know that healthy adoptable dogs are being killed there, but I don't know how many of the 70 dogs killed at South LA were healthy and adoptable.

Page 13 of the handout shows that 7 dogs and 49 cats were killed at West Valley in September. As far as I could tell, no healthy adoptable dogs were killed at West Valley in September.

You can see the numbers of animals killed at the other Shelters in September on pages 12 to 17. At West LA, page 17, only 1 dog was killed in September.

Going back to pages 6 to 11, I know there are some differences in Shelter capacities, but South always has many more dogs, sometimes 2 or even 3 times as many as some of the other Shelters. The other Shelters should be helping South LA out more.

I've been telling you for several months about the empty kennels at the West Valley Shelter. Still, all 8 of the so called "Puppy Kennels" are vacant. Pages 18 to 25 of the handout are pictures of the empty Puppy Kennels at West Valley on Sunday. The Puppy Kennels are actually larger than the regular kennels; the Puppy Kennels are approx 8256 square inches, while the main kennels are approx 7968 square inches, including both sections. The Puppy Kennels have running water. So keeping them vacant for months, while healthy adoptable dogs are being killed at South LA, really seems unjustified. On Sunday, all 8 of the so-called "Training Kennels" were also vacant; page 26 is a picture of one of those. All 7 of the small kennels in the grooming room were also vacant; page 27 is a picture of some of those. I have pictures of all of the other vacant kennels on my phone if you'd like to see them. So there were 28 vacant kennels at West Valley on Sunday. The 8 puppy kennels could certainly be used to save the lives of 8 to 16 dogs at South LA, and still have plenty of back-up space at West Valley, for some contingency that might not even happen. Of course, the most important short term thing to save the lives of dogs and cats at the South LA Shelter, and other Shelters, is the opening of the Jefferson Park Shelter. The Jefferson Park Shelter, the old abandoned South Shelter, can hold hundreds of dogs and hundreds of cats. It is taking the City too long to get that going, now that there is a rescue that is ready to do it. Every week that Shelter continues to sit there abandoned and unused, dogs and cats are being killed, so let's move forward on that. The City has been aware of the interested Rescue since August. A lot of healthy adoptable dogs have been killed since then.

And of course the most important thing to save the lives of dogs and cats on a long term basis is stricter enforcement of the spay/neuter laws.





TARZANA NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL P.O. BOX 571016 TARZANA, CA 91357 (818) 921-4992 <u>tnc@tarzananc.org</u>

TARZANA NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL BOARD MEETING MINUTES **Tuesday September 26, 2017** Tarzana Elementary School Auditorium 5726 Topeka Dr., Tarzana, CA 91356

1. Call to Order by Len Shaffer, president of TNC at 7:15 p.m. Welcoming Remarks and Pledge.

Roll Call: David Garfinkle, Harvey Goldberg, Eran Heissler, Susan Lord, Jeff Mausner, Iris Polonsky, Terry Saucier, Ken Schwartz, Len Shaffer, Richard Silverman, Jennifer Varela (left at 10:23 p.m.), and Esther Wieder. (Six absent: Pam Blattner, Elliot Durant, Barry Edelman, Max Flehinger, Joyce Greene, and Bob Schmaeff). Quorum established with 12 members.

2. Remarks by representatives of public officials:

Assembly Member Matt Dababneh thanked the neighborhood council for providing him with information on what's happening in the 45th Assembly District. Upcoming events: Oct. 5th, Senior Town Hall open to all ages at One Generation Senior Center on Victory Blvd. There will be speakers, health screenings, public preparedness updates and legislation that affects seniors. November 8, 2017, there will be a General Town Hall meeting with State Attorney General Buscera. His office will be hosting open office hours for anyone to meet him and talk about issues. He promised to always be accessible to stakeholders. He gave an update on the funding that was granted to Tarzana/Providence Hospital for seismic retrofitting and improvements to make it a state-of-the-art hospital. He is interested in making healthcare more affordable and accessible for all Californians. He discussed different legislation he's worked on to protect the environment, protect consumers from financial abuse, and to prevent puppy mills from operating within the state (AB485).

Svetlana Pravina from Councilman Bob Blumenfield's office gave a report: Sepulveda Basin will be sprayed for West Nile Virus on Sept. 27th, there will be a meeting on Oct. 12 for the improvements to the L.A. River bike path by the Department of Engineering. She gave an update on the Northridge power outage in July and how the Councilmember has asked LADOT to provide updates via text to customers when there

are power outages. She also talked about updates to the Ventura Blvd./Cahuenga Specific Plan.

LAPD Senior Lead Officer Daryl Scoggins announced the open house on 10/2817 West Valley Division. He gave crime stats for the previous month: 5 robberies, 2 aggravated assaults due to road rage, 5 motor vehicles stolen and 18 broken into, 12 thefts along the boulevard and 40 home break-ins. He then provided information on how thieves have been scoping out neighborhoods and the cars they use for burglaries.

Raffi Assadorian from the L.A. City Attorney's Office talked about a draft ordinance to regulate legalized marijuana stores. The ordinance would regulate taxing and other regulations on use.

3. Public Comments: Jasmine Barbery, DONE Rep. spoke about the 2018 election procedures.

4. Budget Advocate Report, Brian Allen (stood in for the regular Budget Advocate) saying they are developing committees to talk with individual departments and addressing a number of issues.

5. Presentation: Walter Foley of Food & Water Watch – (Jeff Mausner recused himself). This proposal would ban drilling and other oil field activities within 2500 feet of residential properties and other specified, high density locations in Los Angeles. He talked about the increase in malignant cancers in the Aliso Canyon area with two teachers there passing away. He pointed out that the toxic chemical used in these drilling operations damage the nervous system, endocrine and reproductive systems. People are getting sick and currently, regulations don't protect homeowners or residents in these areas. By having a setback distance it will avert some of the largest health impacts in high density areas.

6. (Heissler/Weider) moved approval of the minutes of August 22, 2017 meeting as revised. The motion carried.

7. (Goldberg/Lord) moved approval of August 2017 expenditures for submission to DONE (MER). The motion carried.

8. (Goldberg/Polonsky) moved approval of Financial Statements as of August 31, 2017. The motion carried.

9. (Heissler/Goldberg) moved, the TNC Board approves the Executive Committee's recommendation to appoint Susan Rogen to the open South Area Representative board position. The motion carried.

10. (Heissler/Wieder) moved, "Resolved: The TNC Board approves the Budget Committee's recommendation regarding the request from the Animal Welfare Committee to purchase a "Bone Pool," a wading pool for dogs, for the use by the Volunteers at the West Valley Shelter for to not exceed \$325." The motion carried unanimously.

11. (Goldberg/Silverman) moved, "Resolved: The TNC Board approves the Budget Committee's recommendation regarding the request from the Animal Welfare Committee to purchase a canopy for use of the Volunteers at the West Valley Shelter, in the backyard, for not to exceed \$325." The motion carried unanimously.

12. (Goldberg/Polonsky) moved, "Resolved: Based on approval of the above agenda items 10 and 11 the TNC Board approves a revised budget for the fiscal year July 1, 2017-June 30, 2018 as follows: General and Operational Expenditures \$10,080.00, Neighborhood Purpose Grants \$550 and Community Improvement Projects \$8,928.57, for a total budget of \$19,558.57." The motion carried unanimously.

Consent Calendar: 13 – 16 & 18 & 20: The board voted to vote on these motions as a group (Susan Lord abstaining):

13. Council File 17-0002-S66 - Resolved: The TNC Board approves the Animal Welfare Committee's request to file a CIS supporting Council File 17-0002-S66 regarding AB 1199 (the Pet Canine Encounters Protection Act), which will provide training to California peace officers about how to both quickly and safely respond to unexpected situations when encountering a dog, which invaluable training will give them the tools to protect themselves as well as the life of a treasured canine family member. The Animal Welfare Committee Chair is authorized to send a letter to Assemblymember Nazarian informing him of our support for the city's position.

14. Council File 17-0170 - Resolved: The TNC Board approves the Animal Welfare Committee's request to file a CIS in support of Council File 17-0170 re-affirming the city council's goal to make Los Angeles a "no kill" city for all healthy adoptable shelter animals by December 31, 2017. The Animal Welfare Committee Chair is authorized to communicate this resolution to Brenda Barnette, Dana Brown and Louis Dedeaux of the Animal Services Dept., the Board of Animal Services Commissioners, and Councilmembers Koretz and Blumenfield.

15. Council File 17-0413 Resolved: The TNC Board approves the Animal Welfare Committee's request to file a CIS in support of Council File 17-0413 directing the appropriate city departments to prepare an EIR to allow reconsideration of a proposed Citywide Cat Program that applies to all "free roaming cats" including feral cats and stray cats, utilizing the Project Description as detailed in the April 11, 2017 Department of Animal Services (DAS) report. The Animal Welfare Committee Chair is authorized to communicate this resolution to Brenda Barnette, Dana Brown and Louis Dedeaux of the Animal Services Dept., the Board of Animal Services Commissioners, Councilmembers Koretz and Blumenfield, and at public meetings for the scoping process.

16. Resolved: The TNC Board approves the Animal Welfare Committee's request to support the use of all kennels at the West Valley Shelter, including the Training Kennels, Puppy Kennels, and kennels in the Grooming Room, before any healthy, adoptable animals are killed for lack of space at any of the City Shelters. The Animal Welfare Committee Chair is authorized to communicate this resolution to Brenda Barnette, Dana Brown and Louis Dedeaux of the Animal Services Dept., the Board of Animal Services Commissioners, and Councilmembers Koretz and Blumenfield.

18. Resolved: The TNC Board approves the Transportation Committee's recommendation that a letter be sent to BSS GM Nazario Sauceda regarding the known, dangerous conditions caused by tree roots lifting the street on Elenita St. between Greenbriar Dr and Valdez Dr and on Greenbriar Dr between Coldstream Terr and Midwick Ln.

20. Resolved: The TNC Board approves the Executive Committee's recommendation to send a letter to Governor Brown requesting that he sign AB908 allowing Providence Tarzana Medical Center to delay earthquake retrofitting until 2022 in order to allow construction of the new hospital wing.

The motions all were passed.

17. (): Resolved: The TNC Board approves the Land Use Committee's recommendations regarding the Encino-Tarzana Community Plan update as follows: (attached conditions).

Public Comments:

- Robin Jaffe said she made a map and did outreach and found that 80% of people wanted to change zone from RA to RE so that you can build as much as you can on a large lot. She also didn't agree on the two-story setback regulation.
- Lillian Wall said some of the regulations should be part of the Specific Plan.
- Kathy Delle Donne gave stats on RE-zoned properties. She felt there should be Town Hall meetings to educate the public and do proper outreach on this before taking action.
- Joel Jaffe thinks more time is needed to examine this and construct this plan.
- Craig Townsend said the RA zone has outlived its usefulness. He also thinks Melody Acres shouldn't dictate for the rest of the area.
- Glenn Bailey said the Encino NC has voted to support to extend the Encino/Tarzana Community Plan up to Victory Boulevard.

Board member amendments included:

- Iris asked that #1 be removed. Len suggested amending the text to: "South of the Blvd. in the residential zones, RA becomes RE and R1 remains the same."
- Len amended #4 to: "In order to accommodate the increased population, our goal is to allow increased height and increased FAR's as bonuses within the meaning of SB1818, but that when we see a mix of population, height and density, FAR bonus's should be based on a mix of low, very low or moderate income."
- Harvey asked that in #7 the scrambling traffic crosswalks be removed.
- Len asked that #5 be removed from the community plan.
- Len also asked to strike #10, cool roofs.

After much discussion, the motion carried 13-0-0 and these recommendations will be sent to the Planning Department.

19. (Shaffer/Wieder) moved, "Should the TNC create a Special Events Committee and allow the Outreach Committee to concentrate on outreach via social media, email and news media." Esther was in favor of creating this new committee. The motion carried.

21. (Shaffer/Heissler) moved, "The TNC Board accepts the resignation of Board member Jon Reich communicated to the Executive Committee via email on August 31, 2017 and thanks him for his many contributions to the TNC." The motion carried.

22. Committee and other Reports

Outreach – There will be a Town Hall meeting in the Spring on a topic like Disaster Preparedness.

Land Use – David gave a Land Use report.

Budget - Harvey asked that any items to be considered by the Budget Committee be sent to him by Oct. 11. Also, he noted there is a discrepancy with the tree planting company over the balance that is left amounting to \$13,000.

Animal Welfare – Jeff spoke about a dog named Mugsley being killed in the high temperatures in the back of an Animal Services truck. The trucks with air conditioning still have not been delivered. Jeff stated that we found a rescue organization that is very interested in running the Jefferson Park Shelter, Lisa Vanderpump and the Vanderpump Foundation. He also highlighted Councilmember Blumenfield's motion to allow rescues in commercial areas, which was passed by the City Council.

VANC Report – Len gave a report.

Beautification – Report on Tree Planting event May 13, 2017

Homeless Representative - Susan said there are a series of meetings scheduled for the implementation of Measure HHH for homeless housing. In NoHo, a large edifice is being constructed with medical capabilities to assist 250 homeless. She requested donations for food baskets to be purchased by LA Family Housing who will distribute them to needy families for Thanksgiving.

23. President's Remarks

- The NC Congress was a good time.
- Board member Guy Bachar has missed more than 6 meetings and will be removed from the board.
- 24. Board Member Comment None.

25. Adjournment: 11 p.m.

-Minutes by Pat Kramer, Apple One

	Animal Gender	Animal Age	
Animal Type	OMale	O Less than 1 year	Animal Size
O Dog (224)	○ Female	O More than 1 year	O Small
O Cat (94)	No Preference	No Preference	 Medium
O Other (72)			O Large
No Preference			No Preference
	Search Now>		

	Animal Gender	Animal Age	
Animal Type	OMale	O Less than 1 year	Animal Size
O Dog (108)	○ Female	O More than 1 year	O Small
O Cat (52)	No Preference	No Preference	 Medium
O Other (31)			O Large
No Preference			No Preference
	Search Now>		

	Animal Gender	Animal Age			
Animal Type	OMale	O Less than 1 year	Animal Size		
O Dog (70)	○ Female	O More than 1 year	O Small		
O Cat (85)	No Preference	No Preference	 Medium 		
O Other (12)			O Large		
No Preference			No Preference		
	Search Now>				

○Black ○Brown ○White ○Other ●No Preference

8

	Animal Gender	Animal Age	
Animal Type	OMale	O Less than 1 year	Animal Size
O Dog (192)	○ Female	O More than 1 year	O Small
O Cat (94)	No Preference	No Preference	 Medium
O Other (65)			O Large
No Preference			No Preference
	Search Now>		

	Animal Gender	Animal Age	
Animal Type	OMale	O Less than 1 year	Animal Size
O Dog (132)	○ Female	O More than 1 year	O Small
O Cat (64)	No Preference	No Preference	O Medium
O Other (32)			O Large
No Preference			No Preference
	Search Now>		

	Animal Gender	Animal Age	
Animal Type	OMale	O Less than 1 year	Animal Size
O Dog (96)	○ Female	O More than 1 year	O Small
O Cat (35)	No Preference	No Preference	 Medium
O Other (29)			O Large
No Preference			No Preference
	Search Now>		

Page	10
------	----

Fiscal Year

17/ 18

166

3

73

25

34

0

0

59

345

0

1

0

0

12

7

109

3,221

Fiscal Year

16/17 % Chng

191

3

72

22 25

1

0

48

231

0 0

0

0

14 10

100

3,117

-13% 3%

0% 1%

14%

36% -100% 0%

23%

49%

0% 100%

0% 0% -14% -30% 9%

South LA Shelter										
				Fiscal Year	Fiscal Year					
	Sep-17	Sep-16	% Chng	17/18	16/17	%Chng		Sep-17	Sep-16	% Chng
Cat and Dog Euthanasia	ωp II	ωp 10	/o a mg	11/10	10/11	// ang	Volunteers	cop II	ωp 10	/o ang
Cats	95	83	14%	256	434	-41%	# of Volunteers Worked	96	103	-7%
Dogs	70	126	-44%	225	332	-32%	# of Volunteer Hrs Worked		756	20%
Totals	165	209	-21%	481	766	-37%	#of Orientations	1	1	0%
							#attended	26	23	13%
Cat and Dog Live Releases										
Adoptions to the Public	381	295	29%	1,212	1,026	18%	Animals Sent to Foster			
Best Friends	228	205	11%	764	606	26%	Cats	23	17	35%
New Hope Placements	101	143	-29%	438	481	-9%	Dogs	3	1	200%
Redeemed	51	60	-15%	216	199	9%	Others	0	0	0%
Released	79	24	229%	246	33	645%	Rabbits	-	0	0%
Totals	840	727	16%	2,876	2,345	23%	Totals	26	18	44%
Outcomes for All Animals							Officer Stats			
Adoptions to the Public		303	31%	1,243			ACECItiations	-	89	44%
Best Friends		205	11%	764	606	26%	Admin Hearings		0	0%
Died/DOA (not euthanized)		53	-8%	144	160	-10%	Barking Dog		0	0%
Euthanized	187	227	-18%	534	841	-37%	Otations Issued		0	0%
Missing/Stolen/Escaped		1	-100%	0	5	-500%	Criminal Cases	-	0	0%
New Hope Placements		144	-24%	453	495	-8%	Dangerous Animal		6	17%
Redeemed	51	60	-15%	216	200	8%	Permits Processed	0	5 33	-500%
Released	79	28	182%	255	45	467%	Wildlife Calls	38	33	15%

3,609

3,407

6%

Totals

1,100

1,021

8%

Page 1	4
--------	---

Fiscal

Year

17/ 18

203 3,708

3

92

232

28

6

0

266

607

0

2

0

8

36 25

139

% Chng

5% -14%

0% 48%

93%

250%

0% 0%

98%

37% 0% 100%

0%

100%

9

57

21% 0% 274%

Fiscal

Year

16/17

190 4,182

3

73

159

29 0

0

188

411

0

0

0

8

29 24

180

% Chng

7% -11%

0% 26%

46%

-3%

600%

0% 41%

48% 0% 200%

0% 0%

24% 4% -23%

West	t Valley She	elter								
				Fiscal	Fiscal					
				Year	Year					
	Sep-17	Sep-16	% Chng	17/ 18	16/ 17	%Chng		Sep-17	Sep-16	0
Cat and Dog Euthanasia							Volunteers			
Cats	49	72	-32%	143	220	-35%	# of Volunteers Worked	120	114	
Dogs	7	6	17%	59	50	18%	# of Volunteer Hrs Worked	1,018	1,180	
Totals	56	78	-28%	202	270	-25%	# of Orientations	1	1	
E Contraction of the second seco							# attended	37	25	
Cat and Dog Live Releases								1		
Adoptions to the Public	309	252	23%	1,010	942	7%	Animals Sent to Foster			
Best Friends	57	42	36%	223	214	4%	Cats	108	56	
New Hope Placements	22	64	-66%	103	144	-28%	Dogs		2	
Redeemed	118	101	17%	393	349	13%	Others		0	
Released	6	2	200%	17	112	-85%	Rabbits	0	0	
Totals	-	461	11%	1,746	1,761	-1%	Totals	-	-	
· · · · · · · ·				.,	.,					
Outcomes for All Animals							Officer Stats			
Adoptions to the Public	337	285	18%	1077	1049	3%	ACECItiations	230	168	
Best Friends	57	42	36%	225	214	5%	Admin Hearings	0	0	
Died/DOA (not euthanized)	60	52	15%	172	170	1%	Barking Dog	1	0	
Euthanized	82	111	-26%	294	381	-23%	Otations Issued		0	
Missing/Stolen/Escaped	1	1	0%	4	4	0%	Criminal Cases	1	0	
New Hope Placements	46	89	-48%	180	259	-31%	Dangerous Animal	17	14	
Dedeemend	440	404	400/	204	054	400/	Demoite Deserved	0		

394

49

2,395

259 351

128

2,556

-31% 12%

-62%

-6%

Dangerous Animal Permits Processed

Wildlife Calls

9 38

89 101

8

689

119

15

717

Redeemed

Released

Totals

-48% 18%

88%

4%

Page	6

Totals 63 145 -57% 221 367 40% # of Orientation # attend Cat and Dog Live Releases Adoptions to the Public 205 190 8% 685 646 6% Best Friends 41 40 3% 197 166 19% Cat and Dog Live Releases Animals Sent to Foster Cat and Pacements 61 31 97% 171 75 128% Date Sent to Foster Cat attend Date Sent to Foster Date Sent Foster ACE Citatic Added Sent Sent Sent Sent Sent Sent Sent Sent	Ha	arbor Shelt	er					
Sep-17 Sep-16 % Ohng 17/18 16/17 % Ohng Cat and Dog Euthanasia Cats 448 115 -58% 171 288 41% # of Volunteers Work Dogs 15 30 -50% 50 79 -37% # of Volunteers Work Dogs 15 30 -50% 50 79 -37% Totals 63 145 -57% 221 367 40% Cat and Dog Live Releases 63 145 -57% 221 367 40% Adoptions to the Public 205 190 8% 685 646 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% 6% <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
Cat and Dog Euthanasia Volunteers Cats 48 115 -58% 171 288 41% Dogs 15 30 -50% 50 79 -37% Totals 63 145 -57% 221 367 -40% # of Volunteers Work Cat and Dog Live Releases 63 145 -57% 221 367 -40% # of Volunteers Cat and Dog Live Releases Adoptions to the Public 205 190 8% 685 646 6% Best Friends 41 40 3% 197 166 19% Doc New Hope Racements 61 31 97% 171 75 128% Othe Released 3 6 -50% 8 16 -50% Bt 16 50% Bt Totals 70t 35 70t 35% Othe Totals 70t 70t 678 4% Adoptions to the Public 209 201 4% <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
Cats 48 115 -58% 171 288 41% # of Volunteers Work Dogs 15 30 -50% 50 79 -37% # of Volunteer Hrs Work Totals 63 145 -57% 221 367 -40% # of Volunteer Hrs Work Cat and Dog Live Releases Adoptions to the Public 205 190 8% 685 646 6% # attend New Hope Placements 61 31 97% 171 75 128% Other Doc Released 3 6 -50% 8 16 -50% Reb Totals ACE Citatic Admin Hearin ACE Citatic Admin Hearing Died/DOA (not euthanized) 19 29 -34% 59 80 -26% Barking D Citation Issu Citation Issu Citation Issu Site Site Site Site Site Site Site Site		Sep-17	Sep-16	%Chng	17/ 18	16/ 17	%Chng	
Dogs 15 30 -50% 50 79 -37% # of Volunteer Hrs Work Totals 63 145 -57% 221 367 -40% # of Orientatic Cat and Dog Live Releases Adoptions to the Public 205 190 8% 685 646 6% Best Friends 41 40 3% 197 166 19% Oc Dogs 0 Animals Sent to Foster Oc Dog 0% Released 3 6 -50% 8 16 -50% Do Do Released 3 6 -50% Relob Relob Totals 339 313 8% 1,154 1,032 12% Totals Totals ACE Citatic ACE Citatic Admin Hearin ACE Citatic ACE Citatic Admin Hearin Barking Di ACE Citatic ACE Citatic ACE Citatics ACE Citat	Cat and Dog Euthanasia							Volunteers
Totals 63 145 -57% 221 367 -40% # of Orientatic # attend Cat and Dog Live Releases Adoptions to the Public 205 190 8% 685 646 6% Animals Sent to Foster OC Best Friends 41 40 3% 197 166 19% OC OC DC		-	-					
# attend # attend Cat and Dog Live Releases Adoptions to the Public 205 190 8% 685 646 6% Best Friends 41 40 3% 197 166 19% CC New Hope Placements 61 31 97% 171 75 128% Dc Redeemed 29 46 -37% 93 129 -28% Othe Released 3 6 -50% 8 16 -50% Relob Relob Totals 339 313 8% 1,154 1,032 12% Totals Totals Outcomes for All Animals Officer Stats Adoptions to the Public 209 201 4% 702 678 4% AdECItatic Admin Hearing Died/DDA (not euthanized) 19 29 -34% 59 80 -26% Barking D Ottaitons Issu Missing/ Solen/ Escaped 1	Dogs	15	30	-50%	50	79	-37%	# of Volunteer Hrs Work
Cat and Dog Live Releases Animals Sent to Foster Adoptions to the Public 205 190 8% 685 646 6% Best Friends 41 40 3% 197 166 19% New Hope Placements 61 31 97% 171 75 128% Dc Redeemed 29 46 -37% 93 129 -28% Othe Released 3 6 -50% 8 16 -50% Rabb Totals 339 313 8% 1,154 1,032 12% Totals Outcomes for All Animals 0 0 6 702 678 4% ACE Otiatic Adoptions to the Public 209 201 4% 702 678 4% ACE Otiatic Died/DOA (not euthanized) 19 29 -34% 59 80 -26% Barking D Missing Stolen/ Escaped 1 0 100% 1 0 100% Dangerous An	Totals	63	145	-57%	221	367	-40%	# of Orientation
Adoptions to the Public 205 190 8% 685 646 6% Best Friends 41 40 3% 197 166 19% New Hope Placements 61 31 97% 171 75 128% Dc Redeemed 29 46 -37% 93 129 -28% Other Released 3 6 -50% 8 16 -50% Rebb Totals 339 313 8% 1,154 1,032 12% Totals Outcomes for All Animals 0 6 -50% 8 16 -50% Best Friends 41 40 3% 197 166 19% Ademin Hearing Died/DOA (not euthanized) 19 29 -34% 59 80 -26% Barking D Missing/Stolen/ Escaped 1 0 100% 1 0 100% Otiminal Cas New Hope Placements 65 40 63%<								#attend
Best Friends 41 40 3% 197 166 19% CC New Hope Placements 61 31 97% 171 75 128% Dc Redeemed 29 46 -37% 93 129 -28% Oth Released 3 6 -50% 8 16 -50% Released Totals 339 313 8% 1,154 1,032 12% Totals Totals Outcomes for All Animals Cutcomes for All Animals Officer Sats ACE Otiatic Ademin Hearin ACE Otiatic Ademin Hearin Ademin Hearin Ademin Hearin Best Friends 41 40 3% 197 166 19% Ademin Hearin Ademin Hearin Ademin Hearin Ademin Hearin Best Friends 41 40 3% 197 166 19% Ademin Hearin Ademin Hearin Ademin Hearin Ademin Hearin Best Friends 41 0 3% 269 413 35% Ottation Issu Missing'Stolen	Cat and Dog Live Releases							
New Hope Flacements 61 31 97% 171 75 128% Dc Redeemed 29 46 -37% 93 129 -28% Other Released 3 6 -50% 8 16 -50% Rebe Totals 339 313 8% 1,154 1,032 12% Totals Outcomes for All Animals Cutcomes for All Animals Officer Sats Officer Sats Officer Sats Adoptions to the Public 209 201 4% 702 678 4% AdE Otiatic Died/DOA (not euthanized) 19 29 -34% 59 80 -26% Barking D Euthanized 777 155 -50% 269 413 -35% Ottations Issu Missing/Solen/Escaped 1 0 100% 1 0 100% Oriminal Cas New Hope Placements 65 40 63% 188 90 109% Dangerous Anin	Adoptions to the Public	205	190	8%	685	646	6%	Animals Sent to Foster
Redeemed 29 46 -37% 93 129 -28% Other Released 3 6 -50% 8 16 -50% Relaxed Relaxed 70 70% 70% 70% 70% Relaxed 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70% 70%	Best Friends	41	-	-	-		-	G
Released Totals 3 6 -50% 8 16 -50% Rabb Outcomes for All Animals 339 313 8% 1,154 1,032 12% Totals Outcomes for All Animals 6 -50% 702 678 4% ACE Ottatic Adoptions to the Public 209 201 4% 702 678 4% ACE Ottatic Died/DDA (not euthanized) 19 29 -34% 59 80 -26% Barking D Euthanized 777 155 -50% 269 413 -35% Ottations Issu Missing/Solen/Escaped 1 0 100% 1 0 100% New Hope Placements 65 40 63% 188 90 109% Dangerous Anin Redeemed 30 46 -35% 98 129 -24% Permits Process	New Hope Placements	-	-		171	-		Do
Totals 339 313 8% 1,154 1,032 12% Totals Outcomes for All Animals Officer Stats Officer Stats Officer Stats ACE Citiatic Adoptions to the Public 209 201 4% 702 678 4% ACE Citiatic Best Friends 41 40 3% 197 166 19% Admin Hearing D Died/DOA (not euthanized) 19 29 -34% 59 80 -26% Barking D Euthanized 77 155 -50% 269 413 -35% Ottations Issu Missing/Solen/Escaped 1 0 100% 1 0 100% New Hope Placements 65 40 63% 188 90 109% Dangerous Anin Redeemed 30 46 -35% 98 129 -24% Permits Process	Redeemed	29	46	-37%	93	129	-28%	Othe
Outcomes for All Animals Officer Stats Adoptions to the Public 209 201 4% 702 678 4% ACE Otiatic Best Friends 41 40 3% 197 166 19% Admin Hearin Died/DOA (not euthanized) 19 29 -34% 59 80 -26% Barking D Euthanized 77 155 -50% 269 413 -35% Ottations Issu Missing/Solen/Escaped 1 0 100% 1 0 00% New Hope Placements 65 40 63% 188 90 109% Dangerous Anim Redeemed 30 46 -35% 98 129 -24% Permits Process	Released	3	6	-50%	8	16	-50%	Rabb
Adoptions to the Public 209 201 4% 702 678 4% ACE Citiatic Best Friends 41 40 3% 197 166 19% Admin Hearin Died/DOA (not euthanized) 19 29 -34% 59 80 -26% Barking D Euthanized 77 155 -50% 269 413 -35% Ottations Issu Missing/Solen/Escaped 1 0 100% 1 0 100% New Hope Placements 65 40 63% 188 90 109% Dangerous Anin Redeemed 30 46 -35% 98 129 -24% Permits Process	Totals	339	313	8%	1,154	1,032	12%	Tota
Best Friends 41 40 3% 197 166 19% Admin Hearin Died/DOA (not euthanized) 19 29 -34% 59 80 -26% Barking D Euthanized 77 155 -50% 269 413 -35% Ottations Issu Missing/Stolen/Escaped 1 0 100% 1 0 100% New Hope Placements 65 40 63% 188 90 109% Dangerous Anin Redeemed 30 46 -35% 98 129 -24% Permits Process	Outcomes for All Animals							Officer Stats
Died/DOA (not euthanized) 19 29 -34% 59 80 -26% Barking D Euthanized 77 155 -50% 269 413 -35% Otations Issu Missing/Solen/Escaped 1 0 100% 1 0 100% New Hope Placements 65 40 63% 188 90 109% Redeemed 30 46 -35% 98 129 -24% Permits Process	Adoptions to the Public	209	201	4%	702	678	4%	AŒOtiatio
Euthanized 77 155 -50% 269 413 -35% Otations Issu Missing/Solen/Escaped 1 0 100% 1 0 100% Oriminal Cas New Hope Placements 65 40 63% 188 90 109% Dangerous Anin Redeemed 30 46 -35% 98 129 -24% Permits Process	Best Friends	41	40	3%	197	166	19%	Admin Hearir
Missing/Stolen/Escaped 1 0 100% 1 0 100% Oriminal Cas New Hope Placements 65 40 63% 188 90 109% Dangerous Anin Redeemed 30 46 -35% 98 129 -24% Permits Process	Died/DOA (not euthanized)	19	29	-34%	59	80	-26%	Barking D
New Hope Placements 65 40 63% 188 90 109% Dangerous Anin Redeemed 30 46 -35% 98 129 -24% Permits Process	Euthanized	77	155	-50%	269	413	-35%	Otations Issu
Redeemed 30 46 -35% 98 129 -24% Permits Process	Missing/Stolen/Escaped	1	0	100%	1	0	100%	Criminal Cas
	New Hope Placements	65	40	63%	188	90	109%	Dangerous Anin
Released 20 12 67% 34 31 10% Wildlife Ca	Redeemed	30	46	-35%	98	129	-24%	Permits Process
	Released	20	12	67%	34	31	10%	Wildlife Ca
Totals 462 523 -12% 1,548 1,587 -2%	Totals	462	523	-12%	1,548	1,587	-2%	

teers						
# of Volunteers Worked	100	82	22%	153	135	13%
f Volunteer Hrs Worked	1,034	648	60%	3,386	2,467	37%
# of Orientations	1	1	0%	3	3	0%
#attended	21	25	-16%	69	68	1%
als Sent to Foster	27	16	600/	01	50	EC0/
Cats	27	16	69%	81	52	56%
Dogs	5	4	25%	21	19	11%
Others	0	1	-100%	0	1	-100%
Others	-					
Rabbits	-	0	0%	0	0	0%

Sep-17 Sep-16 % Chng

Fiscal

Year

17/ 18

Fiscal

Year

16/17 % Chng

Stats						
ACECitiations	33	67	-51%	100	169	-41%
Admin Hearings	0	1	-100%	0	1	-100%
Barking Dog	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Otations Issued	0	0	0%	1	0	100%
Criminal Cases	0	0	0%	1	0	100%
Dangerous Animal	1	1	0%	5	1	400%
Permits Processed	1	1	0%	2	1	100%
Wildlife Calls	31	24	241%	161	76	112%

North	Central Sh	elter											
				Fiscal	Fiscal						Fiscal	Fiscal	
				Year	Year						Year	Year	
	Sep-17	Sep-16	% Chng	17/ 18	16/ 17	% Chng		Sep-17	Sep-16	% Chng	17/ 18	16/ 17	% Chng
Cat and Dog Euthanasia							Volunteers						
Cats	82	94	-13%	316	333	-5%	# of Volunteers Worked	80	115	-30%	199	196	2%
Dogs	18	33	-45%	69	132	-48%	# of Volunteer Hrs Worked	576	726	-21%	2,212	2,354	-6%
Totals	100	127	-21%	385	465	-17%	# of Orientations	0	1	-100%	2	3	-33%
-							#attended	0	26	-2600%	59	107	-45%
Cat and Dog Live Releases							-						
Adoptions to the Public	306	242	26%	917	878	4%	Animals Sent to Foster						
Best Friends	82	89	-8%	311	322	-3%	Cats	53	22	141%	145	128	13%
New Hope Placements	107	157	-32%	310	367	-16%	Dogs	13	12	8%	60	54	11%
Redeemed	59	53	11%	250	243	3%	Others	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Released	3	9	-67%	16	25	-36%	Rabbits	0	0	0%	1	0	100%
Totals	557	550	1%	1,804	1,835	-2%	Totals	66	34	94%	206	182	13%
Outcomes for All Animals							Officer Stats						
Adoptions to the Public	319	259	23%	952	918	4%	ACECItiations	507	583	-13%	2,213	1,582	
Best Friends	82	89	-8%	311	322	-3%	Admin Hearings	0	0	0%	4	1	300%
Died/DOA (not euthanized)	60	55	9%	223	169	32%	Barking Dog	1	0	100%	2	0	200%
Euthanized	137	160	-14%	492	572	-14%	Otations Issued	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Missing/Stolen/Escaped	1	1	0%	1	3	-67%	Oriminal Cases	1	2	-50%	1	7	-86%
New Hope Placements	162	168	-4%	395	407	-3%	Dangerous Animal	7	8	-13%	13	19	-32%
Redeemed	60	53	13%	251	244	3%	Permits Processed	34	38	-11%	97	109	-11%
Released	17	21	-19%	62	64	-3%	Wildlife Calls	59	130	-55%	193	350	-45%
Totals	838	806	4%	2,687	2,699	0%	_						

Page 4	1
--------	---

East	Valley She	elter				
				Fiscal Year	Fiscal Year	
	Sep-17	Sep-16	% Chng	17/18	16/17	% Chng
Cat and Dog Euthanasia						
Cats	63	114	-45%	268	396	-32%
Dogs	18	32	-44%	80	96	-17%
Totals	81	146	-45%	348	492	-29%
Cat and Dog Live Releases						
Adoptions to the Public	387	363	7%	1,319	1,375	-4%
Best Friends	129	161	-20%	479	470	2%
New Hope Placements	191	141	35%	563	460	22%
Redeemed	125	117	7%	444	443	0%
Released	5	13	-62%	48	56	-14%
Totals	837	795	5%	2,853	2,804	2%

Outcomes for All Animals

Adoptions to the Public	423	379	12%	1,388	1,435	-3%
Best Friends	129	161	-20%	479	470	2%
Died/DOA (not euthanized)	100	94	6%	277	290	-4%
Euthanized	133	188	-29%	514	643	-20%
Missing/Stolen/Escaped	1	0	100%	3	1	200%
New Hope Placements	226	183	23%	665	569	17%
Redeemed	129	120	8%	449	450	0%
Released	16	32	-50%	128	134	-4%
Totals	1,157	1,157	0%	3,903	3,992	-2%

				HSCAL	Hscal		
				Year	Year		
	Sep-17	Sep-16	% Chng	17/ 18	16/ 17	% Chng	
/olunteers							
# of Volunteers Worked	133	105	27%	271	213	-21%	
# of Volunteer Hrs Worked	1,127	1,360	-17%	4,513	5,285	17%	
# of Orientations	1	1	0%	3	3	0%	
#attended	44	38	16%	131	106	-19%	

Animals Sent to Foster

Cats	226	85	166%	468	239	96%
Dogs	28	8	250%	92	78	18%
Others	-	0	0%	0	0	0%
Rabbits	-	0	0%	0	0	0%
Totals	-	93	173%	560	317	-

Officer Stats

ACECItiations	169	140	21%	639	340	88%
Admin Hearings	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Barking Dog	0	0	0%	1	2	-50%
Otations Issued	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Criminal Cases	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Dangerous Animal	7	6	17%	29	18	61%
Permits Processed	9	7	29%	17	16	6%
Wildlife Calls	39	49	-20%	136	150	-9%

We	est LA Shelt	er											
				Fiscal	Fiscal						Fiscal	Fiscal	
				Year	Year						Year	Year	
	Sep-17	Sep-16	% Chng	17/18	16/ 17	% Chng		Sep-17	Sep-16	% Chng	17/ 18	16/ 17	%Chng
Cat and Dog Euthanasia							Volunteers						
Cats	16	24	-33%	65	94	-31%	# of Volunteers Worked	107	143	-25%	202	227	-11%
Dogs	1	6	-83%	11	16	-31%	# of Volunteer Hrs Worked	977	1,027	-5%	3,036	3,534	-14%
Totals	17	30	-43%	76	110	-31%	# of Orientations	1	1	0%	3	3	0%
						<u> </u>	#attended	35	33	6%	103	100	3%
Cat and Dog Live Releases													
Adoptions to the Public	207	212	-2%	666	710	-6%	Animals Sent to Foster						
Best Friends	0	3	-300%	24	13	85%	Cats	23	38	-39%	112	102	10%
New Hope Placements	30	45	-33%	89	89		Dogs	2	2	0%	14	14	0%
Redeemed	62	44	41%	188	175	7%	Others	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Released	1	2	-50%	6	6		Rabbits	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Totals	300	306	-2%	973	993	-2%	Totals	25	40	-38%	126	116	9%
							-						
Outcomes for All Animals							Officer Stats						
Adoptions to the Public	219	219	0%	705	754	-6%	ACECItiations	108	86	26%	299	146	105%
Best Friends	0	3	-300%	24	13	85%	Admin Hearings	5	9	-44%	15	21	-29%
Died/DOA (not euthanized)	23	27	-15%	78	85		Barking Dog	0	1	-100%	0	1	-100%
Euthanized	43	54	-20%	171	180	-5%	Otations Issued	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
Missing/Stolen/Escaped	0	1	-100%	1	1	0%	Oriminal Cases	0	0	0%	0	0	0%
New Hope Placements	63	64	-2%	144	149		Dangerous Animal	0	0	0%	1	0	100%
Redeemed	63	44	43%	189	177	7%	Permits Processed	3	5	-40%	10	10	0%
Released	9	11	-18%	46	26		Wildlife Calls	90	64	269%	262	189	39%
Totals	420	423	-1%	1,358	1,385	-2%	-						